

*Pack Hunting Dinosaurs? When the first carnivorous dinosaur remains were discovered they were found as isolated individuals, occasionally in close proximity to herbivorous dinosaurs that may have been their prey. This gave rise to the notion that the dinosaurs were solitary hunters in a similar fashion to some of the predators today such as tigers and bears. However new.*

Share via Email There is good evidence that raptors were gregarious, but did they cooperate when hunting? Small, agile and smart, these hunters relied on cooperation to stalk their human prey. Tyrannosaurus probably could not have kept up with a speeding jeep and Dilophosaurus was not a frilled venom-spitter, but the pack-hunting behaviour of Velociraptor was not a Hollywood invention. In , palaeontologist John Ostrom described a strange dinosaur from the m-year-old rock of Montana. Named Deinonychus, this roughly human-sized predator had grasping hands, a hyperextendable sickle claw on each foot, and a stiff tail that acted as a dynamic counterbalance. Presented as the antithesis of the reptilian dinosaur archetype, Ostrom concluded that Deinonychus "must have been a fleet-footed, highly predaceous, extremely agile and very active animal, sensitive to many stimuli and quick in its responses. At least three Deinonychus were found alongside the herbivorous dinosaur Tenontosaurus at a quarry excavated by Ostrom and his colleagues, and numerous Deinonychus teeth were discovered among the remains of the same prey at fourteen other sites. Since dinosaurs replaced teeth throughout their lives, predators could occasionally afford to lose a tooth or two while feeding. Where Tenontosaurus bones were found, traces of Deinonychus frequently turned up. Despite lacking armour or spikes, an 8-metre adult Tenontosaurus would have been hefty enough to break the bones of an attacker. Killing such a large animal would have required cooperation, and this conjecture along with the common association between the two species fuelled the idea that packs of Deinonychus often pounced upon poor Tenontosaurus. The gory conflicts were immortalised in museum displays and palaeo-art ever after and, given a name change , Deinonychus used the same tactics in Jurassic Park. But not everyone has agreed that Deinonychus hunted in packs. In palaeontologists Brian Roach and Daniel Brinkman argued that the Tenontosaurus kill sites Ostrom cited represented bloody scrambles where individual Deinonychus scrapped over feeding rights. The dinosaurs were more like komodo dragons than wolves. Extrapolating behaviour from scattered skeletons is tricky. As Ostrom himself noted, the associations between the Deinonychus and Tenontosaurus bones can be interpreted in different ways. Nevertheless, exceptional trackways have shown that raptors were social at least some of the time. Deinonychus and its kin called dromaeosaurs only stood on two toes, with their wicked sickle claws held off the ground. Their distinctive tracks are rare, but in Rihui Li and co-authors described footprints of a Deinonychus-like dinosaur from the Early Cretaceous of Shandong, China. In a single bedding plane, the scientists found the footprints of at least six individuals that walked in close proximity to each other and in the same direction. Footprints are fossilised behaviour, and in this unique case the trackways recorded the movements of a raptor pack. The tracks from China are not the only evidence for gregarious raptors. Just last month palaeontologists Alexander Mudroch and colleagues reported over impressions left by Deinonychus-sized dromaeosaurs in the Mid-Jurassic strata of Niger. There were five distinct trackways made at different times, but one pair of trackways was left by two dinosaurs moving together in the same direction. One of the trackways even shows how one dinosaur abruptly changed speed to avoid running into its companion. We need more evidence, and, rather than try to shoehorn them into this or that behavioural repertoire, perhaps we should do as Robert Bakker once suggested and "Let dinosaurs be dinosaurs," as we search for clues about their lives. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology. Mudroch, A et al Didactyl tracks of paravian theropods Maniraptora from the? Middle Jurassic of Africa. Bulletin of the Peabody Museum of Natural History.

*Appraise the evidence, were theropods dinosaur pack-hunters? Check out my webpage: [www.nxgvision.com](http://www.nxgvision.com)*

Aphids defend themselves with chemical bombs Where there are plants, there are almost certainly aphids feeding on them. These ubiquitous insects are banquets for many predators, and some have evolved incredible defences against them. The cabbage aphid, for example, is a walking bomb. Scientists at Rothamsted Research have identified how aphid parasitic wasps prevent their offspring being eaten by ladybirds. The first is quite straightforward: Cabbage aphids have developed an internal chemical defence system which enables them to disable attacking predators by setting a chemical trap. **FAQ: By JohnV not verified on 28 Aug permalink** What a idiot. It would be a race between rats and the insects to see who could strip the planet the fastest. There has been cases in the past, where animal rights activists have interfered with conservation efforts, like trying to remove invasive mammals from islands, or hunting animals white tail deer as a population control measure. Trying to force carnivores into the same diet is incredibly self-centered. The effects of ecological change in a habitat are often unpredictable, and often not what one might expect. Another big issue that occurs to me is that nature truly does vacuo abhorret. Over the long term, I think any removal of predators would be continually undone by recruitment from herbivorous ancestors. Its also rather arbitrary - why just vertebrates? Why not the invertebrates too? **By Sigmund not verified on 28 Aug permalink** Good point **Chris comment 5.** Herbivorous animals can be vindictive bullies or killers or tormentors of conspecifics, they will occasionally kill and eat other animals as Tet Zoo regulars know, carnivory has been recorded in sheep, cattle, deer, elephants etc. I guess all living things need to be modified for Abolitionism to work. **By tetrapodzoology on 28 Aug permalink** As I am in agreement with the "What a terrible idea" commentor crowd, I feel like I should comment on one of my biggest pet peeves: Vegetarians and vegans do not have to worry about protein. Plants and seeds contain amino acids. If you eat your colors like mommy told you, you will acquire a full complement of amino acids animal-free. Your body can then synthesize proteins from these amino acids. If your vegetarian, you can also pick up proteins from eggs and cheese, although I prefer but sometimes do to pass on these sources. As a bonus, veggie-based proteins come free of hormones and antibiotics that are a biological nightmare waiting to happen! Can we cut this protein nonsense out? **By Emily not verified on 28 Aug permalink** Wow, I had to comment on this. What an utter boatload of steaming crappy stupidity. This is stupidity and short-sightedness on par with the people who advocate racial genocide. Do they realize the human gene pool would stagnate after a while? **Gable not verified on 28 Aug permalink** Monty Python, where are you when we need you????? Running is dangerous - they could break a leg! Obviously we would have to protect them from that, maybe some form of cage with a nice smooth floor, like concrete. And weather - there are storms, snow, sunburn! So they need to be inside, possibly in some form of barn arrangement. **By Deb not verified on 28 Aug permalink** emily "As a bonus, veggie-based proteins come free of hormones" Are you suggesting that plants do not have hormones? **By JohnV not verified on 28 Aug permalink** This seems to be the natural consequence of the moral standard, "Do no harm". By that standard, a lion killing and eating its prey really is evil. **By falnfenix not verified on 28 Aug permalink** Vegan animal rightist here: Humans have the ability to choose how we treat other species, and so we ought not harm them if it can be avoided as with food, etc. Human "predation" is wrong because we could do otherwise, not merely because it causes harm. **By ABradford not verified on 28 Aug permalink** "There is nothing so absurd but some philosopher has said it. THE worst part is, they give him money for this stuff. **By chris y not verified on 28 Aug permalink** I think the only feasible way to do it would be to sterilize the Earth and start over. Extant organisms have evolved to live in and cope with the world as it exists with competition for resources the primary driving force for evolution. It is conceivably possible to artificially design organisms to have any properties that one wants them to have. For the synthetic ecosystem to be stable, it would have to comprise only non-replicating organisms. If organisms had the opportunity to reproduce, then there would be evolution, and that evolution would ultimately lead to competition for resources. The suffering of dying, vs. If you are going to eliminate suffering through genetic engineering, eliminate the suffering of observing other organisms suffering and there is no

driving force to eliminate any others. We already have that. That is what the ARA do to themselves when they threaten researchers with violence. They cause the suffering of researchers who use animals by threatening them with violence and by threatening their children with violence. By daedalus2u not verified on 28 Aug permalink "As a bonus, veggie-based proteins come free of hormones and antibiotics that are a biological nightmare waiting to happen! Say, years old perhaps and highly limited in lipid and cholesterol intake? Eukaryotic or prokaryotic, plant or animal. All organisms have hormones and small chemical signals which will interact with the organism which has consumed them. By Jared not verified on 28 Aug permalink So, what are they going to call this campaign, Starve the Children? Pumas prey on coyotes, coyotes prey on cats, cats prey on mice, mice prey on crickets. Think of the crickets! Limiting ourselves for some extreme morals is not something that I find logical, but I tolerate it, as long as nobody goes up to me saying that I am wrong because of my diet of choice. But, people are so used to alienating themselves from nature that they fail to understand it. From life as a whole? Get out of your bubble, life sucks, but it is better that the alternative. You may not kill, yet you get nourishment from what was meant to be the food of an offspring, or even an offspring. Killing may make something suffer, but, forceful milking or egg gathering are not innocent. Again, this idea is idiotic. It is neglecting our nature, alongside the nature of life itself. So, this guy should be left in the woods to survive, to stop thinking and act. Point, laugh, and move along. Or at least not eliminating them in the way that this guy advocates. Instead, he wants to turn them into herbivores. By Onychomys not verified on 28 Aug permalink This is actually a fairly common viewpoint. Or, if not common, at least far more prevalent than it should be. I am a National Park Ranger. I work at an historic site, but we have our little bits of nature here. I then pointed out that sparrows eat insects and asked if he had something against bugs? When at Yellowstone on vacation, we had the joy of watching a grizzly bear eating an elk. We were close enough that while still in the relative safety of our car minivan, actually we could hear the bones snap. He explained that the NPS tried that with wolves, bear, coyotes, foxes and mountain lions from the s into the s and the herbivore population exploded, they ate all the food, died off due to starvation and disease and then went through the cycle again. The visitor then asked if they could at least put up a screen because it was really gross to watch. By Billy Th€ not verified on 28 Aug permalink That "phylosopher" wants to turn the world back to the PreCambrian. By Andrea Cau not verified on 28 Aug permalink And what does this looney propose to do about parasites? By Omphaloskepsis not verified on 28 Aug permalink Suffering is an unavoidable consequence of biological life. All biological life exists at some cost to other biological life. Biological life suffers from entropy, existing in a continual state of decay. Therefore, to eliminate suffering, we must eliminate biological life. By ellindsey not verified on 28 Aug permalink I notice that in the link David Pearce mentioned eliminating parasitic tapeworms and cockroaches. Is this even possible? Made things worse, even. Animals behave the way they do because it is how their nature works. To drastically change it is to do a disservice to nature and evolution. As a side note about animals varying their diets, specifically carnivorous parrots: What bothers me most about the extreme vegan stance is the holier than thou attitude. As I see it, in life there is no free lunch. I think a lot of it just has to do with lack of exposure often times unfortunately attached to a lack of common sense and base intelligence. I went to Yellowstone Natural Park a few years ago, and I was blown away at some of the insane things the tourists would do with the wildlife population. Needless to say, the baby almost died - the statal authorities, being obviously afraid to risk a conflict with a cult consisting mainly of wealthy and well-connected upper middle class academics, did not dare to intervene - but, mercifully, the grandparents did and saved the bare live of the poor baby it might have suffered irreversible damage, though. Something along the lines of

**Chapter 3 : Pack hunter - Wikipedia**

*The Cleveland-Lloyd Dinosaur Quarry in Utah, USA, was once the site of just such a predator trap. Since scientists first found fossils there in , more than 10, dinosaur bones have been unearthed, most of them from predators.*

The other groups mentioned are, like dinosaurs and pterosaurs, members of Sauropsida the reptile and bird clade , with the exception of Dimetrodon which is a synapsid. Definition Triceratops skeleton, Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County Under phylogenetic nomenclature , dinosaurs are usually defined as the group consisting of the most recent common ancestor MRCA of Triceratops and Neornithes , and all its descendants. In traditional taxonomy, birds were considered a separate class that had evolved from dinosaurs, a distinct superorder. However, a majority of contemporary paleontologists concerned with dinosaurs reject the traditional style of classification in favor of phylogenetic taxonomy; this approach requires that, for a group to be natural, all descendants of members of the group must be included in the group as well. Birds are thus considered to be dinosaurs and dinosaurs are, therefore, not extinct. Norman, and Paul M. Barrett in suggested a radical revision of dinosaurian systematics. Phylogenetic analysis by Baron et al. They resurrected the clade Ornithoscelida to refer to the group containing Ornithischia and Theropoda. Dinosauria itself was re-defined as the last common ancestor of Triceratops horridus , Passer domesticus , Diplodocus carnegii , and all of its descendants, to ensure that sauropods and kin remain included as dinosaurs. Using one of the above definitions, dinosaurs can be generally described as archosaurs with hind limbs held erect beneath the body. Other groups of animals were restricted in size and niches; mammals, for example, rarely exceeded the size of a domestic cat, and were generally rodent-sized carnivores of small prey. While dinosaurs were ancestrally bipedal as are all modern birds , some prehistoric species were quadrupeds, and others, such as Anchisaurus and Iguanodon , could walk just as easily on two or four legs. Cranial modifications like horns and crests are common dinosaurian traits, and some extinct species had bony armor. Although known for large size, many Mesozoic dinosaurs were human-sized or smaller, and modern birds are generally small in size. Dinosaurs today inhabit every continent, and fossils show that they had achieved global distribution by at least the early Jurassic period. Although some later groups of dinosaurs featured further modified versions of these traits, they are considered typical for Dinosauria; the earliest dinosaurs had them and passed them on to their descendants. Such modifications, originating in the most recent common ancestor of a certain taxonomic group, are called the synapomorphies of such a group. Some of these are also present in silesaurids , which Nesbitt recovered as a sister group to Dinosauria, including a large anterior trochanter, metatarsals II and IV of subequal length, reduced contact between ischium and pubis, the presence of a cnemial crest on the tibia and of an ascending process on the astragalus, and many others. However, because they are either common to other groups of archosaurs or were not present in all early dinosaurs, these features are not considered to be synapomorphies. For example, as diapsids , dinosaurs ancestrally had two pairs of temporal fenestrae openings in the skull behind the eyes , and as members of the diapsid group Archosauria, had additional openings in the snout and lower jaw. These include an elongated scapula , or shoulder blade; a sacrum composed of three or more fused vertebrae three are found in some other archosaurs, but only two are found in Herrerasaurus ; [19] and a perforate acetabulum , or hip socket, with a hole at the center of its inside surface closed in Saturnalia , for example. Dinosaurs may have appeared as early as million years ago, as evidenced by remains of the genus Nyasasaurus from that period, though known fossils of these animals are too fragmentary to tell if they are dinosaurs or very close dinosaurian relatives. The terrestrial habitats were occupied by various types of archosauromorphs and therapsids , like cynodonts and rhynchosaurs. Their main competitors were the pseudosuchia , such as aetosaurs , ornithosuchids and rauisuchians , which were more successful than the dinosaurs. Rhynchosaurs and dicynodonts survived at least in some areas at least as late as early-mid Norian and early Rhaetian , respectively, [50] [51] and the exact date of their extinction is uncertain. These losses left behind a land fauna of crocodylomorphs , dinosaurs, mammals , pterosaurians , and turtles. In the late Triassic and early Jurassic, the continents were connected as the single landmass Pangaea , and there was a worldwide dinosaur fauna mostly composed of coelophysoid carnivores and early sauropodomorph herbivores. Early

sauropodomorphs did not have sophisticated mechanisms for processing food in the mouth, and so must have employed other means of breaking down food farther along the digestive tract. Dinosaurs in China show some differences, with specialized sinraptorid theropods and unusual, long-necked sauropods like Mamenchisaurus. Conifers and pteridophytes were the most common plants. Sauropods, like the earlier prosauropods, were not oral processors, but ornithischians were evolving various means of dealing with food in the mouth, including potential cheek -like organs to keep food in the mouth, and jaw motions to grind food. The earliest part of this time saw the spread of ankylosaurians, iguanodontians , and brachiosaurids through Europe, North America, and northern Africa. These were later supplemented or replaced in Africa by large spinosaurid and carcharodontosaurid theropods, and rebbachisaurid and titanosaurian sauropods, also found in South America. In Asia, maniraptoran coelurosaurians like dromaeosaurids , troodontids , and oviraptorosaurians became the common theropods, and ankylosaurids and early ceratopsians like Psittacosaurus became important herbivores. Meanwhile, Australia was home to a fauna of basal ankylosaurians, hypsilophodonts , and iguanodontians. A major change in the early Cretaceous, which would be amplified in the late Cretaceous, was the evolution of flowering plants. At the same time, several groups of dinosaurian herbivores evolved more sophisticated ways to orally process food. Ceratopsians developed a method of slicing with teeth stacked on each other in batteries, and iguanodontians refined a method of grinding with tooth batteries , taken to its extreme in hadrosaurids. In the northern continents of North America and Asia, the major theropods were tyrannosaurids and various types of smaller maniraptoran theropods, with a predominantly ornithischian herbivore assemblage of hadrosaurids, ceratopsians, ankylosaurids, and pachycephalosaurians. In the southern continents that had made up the now-splitting Gondwana , abelisaurids were the common theropods, and titanosaurian sauropods the common herbivores. Finally, in Europe, dromaeosaurids, rhabdodontid iguanodontians, nodosaurid ankylosaurians, and titanosaurian sauropods were prevalent. Theropods were also radiating as herbivores or omnivores , with therizinosaurians and ornithomimosaurians becoming common. Some other diapsid groups, such as crocodylians, sebecosuchians , turtles , lizards , snakes , sphenodontians , and choristoderans , also survived the event. It is often cited that mammals out-competed the neornithines for dominance of most terrestrial niches but many of these groups co-existed with rich mammalian faunas for most of the Cenozoic. Dinosaur classification Dinosaurs belong to a group known as archosaurs , which also includes modern crocodylians. Within the archosaur group, dinosaurs are differentiated most noticeably by their gait. Dinosaur legs extend directly beneath the body, whereas the legs of lizards and crocodylians sprawl out to either side. Saurischia includes those taxa sharing a more recent common ancestor with birds than with Ornithischia , while Ornithischia includes all taxa sharing a more recent common ancestor with Triceratops than with Saurischia. Anatomically, these two groups can be distinguished most noticeably by their pelvic structure. Saurischia includes the theropods exclusively bipedal and with a wide variety of diets and sauropodomorphs long-necked herbivores which include advanced, quadrupedal groups. Unlike birds, the ornithischian pubis also usually had an additional forward-pointing process. Ornithischia includes a variety of species which were primarily herbivores.

### Chapter 4 : Are Raptors Pack Hunters? by Kacey Craney on Prezi

*Reconstructing dinosaur behaviour - such as the hunting strategy of raptors - is fraught with difficulty, writes Brian Switek.*

Nobody has ever seen an Acro nest. Or lived to tell the tale anyways , and hunts in secluded prairies and grasslands, typically at night. Physically smaller and more lightweight than other predators on the isle, the Acro is still a formidable predator to behold, as shown in its odd choice of prey. This animal tends to sneak up on prey until it is as close as possible before utilizing its most formidable attack. Acro tends to go after some the biggest game on the island, commonly Shantungosaurus and Diplodocus and even Puertasaurus if they have made a rare, largely populated pack. With this large prey, the Acro needs a means of special attack. By using its long, powerful arms, the Acro can grapple onto the sides of prey just like a raptor to bite and slash at its encumbered prey. Unfortunately, this method of attack is very draining on the Acro stamina, and the predator must conserve its energy to escape before being crushed by its prey. Of course, its serrated teeth leave grievous wounds in the sides of its prey. The loss of blood is what ultimately falls the animal, and by using its incredible tracking ability, the Acro can follow the wounded animal at its own pace. Once it retrieves its bounty, even a Rex will keep a respectable distance away as the Acro feeds. However, very few of them make for easy meals. With a variety of weapons and defenses in their arsenal, the herbivores are more than a challenge to any carnivore. They may not be as scary as the predators from afar, but there are plenty of herbivores that can hold their own. Speed - These Herbivores tend to be the smaller species, and must rely on their ability and light weight over ferocity. These speed demons can outrun all but the fastest of carnivores on the island. The only chance of catching one is while it is off guard or injured. These dinosaurs can give you a run for your money. Strength - These dinosaurs use their immense power and muscle to fight back. Although evasion is the best route, sometimes you have to turn around and fight. These dinosaurs can cripple or even kill carnivores, allowing for a quick get away. These animals stand together when moving and together stand as a formidable defense against predators. Most herbivores travel together in groups around the island, moving together like one, massive organism. To fight monsters, you have to build monsters of your own. Camouflage - These dinosaurs utilize their hides to - well - hide. The environment can be a welcome friend, and herbivores can use it to their advantage. Wether it be a small or large herbivore, predators may need a careful eye when venturing through the forest. Nobody suspects the bush. Size - These dinosaurs are the largest of all animals, towering over all those in their kingdom. They are simply too strong and too massive to attack. They can crush opponents like twigs and thunder across the island. Escape - Sometimes, nature favors the cautious ones. These dinosaurs tend to stay together in groups, but when trouble shows itself, they will all run. Except in this group, it is better that another member gets eaten before you. Groups safety is paid by the someone else. Unfortunately, you are "someone else" to someone else All-Terrain - These dinosaurs can take control of their environment like no other. With different forms of locomotion or just the ability to travel better in certain situations, the All-Terrain dinosaurs just take walks in the park. You are now ready to view the Herbivore Files Gallimimus.

**Chapter 5 : Komunita sluv¾by Steam :: NÄ¼vod :: The Field Guide : An Essential Handbook**

*Note: Citations are based on reference standards. However, formatting rules can vary widely between applications and fields of interest or study. The specific requirements or preferences of your reviewing publisher, classroom teacher, institution or organization should be applied.*

The Langoliers are definitely the most extreme example, eating matter and time itself. In Percy Jackson , the satyr Grover can eat anything recyclable, notably soda cans. In Neverwhere , by Neil Gaiman , Mr. Vandemar is always hungry , and has been known to eat rats, pigeons and frogs. There are hints that he eats people, too. He is probably a werewolf of some sort. This comes in handy when they want to impress other alien races by downing whatever local food is offered them, no matter how disgusting. This includes people on many occasions , sharks, legendary grimoires, and even on one occasion a demon. This is combined with its Hyperspace Arsenal capacity to ensure that regardless of what it eats, the next time you open it all you find is your clean laundry. According to Rincewind its owner at one point, the only time it ever disliked that it ate was a book of spells - it sulked for three days and then spat it out. One of the "Utopias" in John T. Thirteen Utopias" depicts a family enjoying a picnic at a landfill site, eating the garbage as if it were the most delicious snack food anyone ever tasted. In the Perry Rhodan setting, the inhabitants of the planet Halut -- four-armed and only somewhat loosely humanoid giants routinely growing taller than ten feet -- are capable of chewing and digesting virtually anything, including rock. As seen in the quote above, the Andalites in Animorphs fit this trope whenever they morph into something with taste buds. The Taxxons are, as a race, plagued by insanity-inducing hunger. The Rirhait, a vaguely centipede-esque alien species from the Young Wizards series, are this in a big way. One important point while introducing a Rirhait to human cuisine is to stress that the plate, silverware, table, and floorboards are not to be considered part of the meal. When a Rirhait construction crew repairs a wrecked building, they eat the rubble instead of throwing it into a dumpster. He has been known to eat furniture. Mulch Diggums, a dwarf from the Artemis Fowl books by Eoin Colfer, has a one-way digestive system and travels underground by eating through dirt, rock, etc. That is one thing all dwarves can do slower or faster. Anything found in earth, like beetles, is food for dwarves as well. A large chunk of granite managed to temporarily constipate Mulch, though. In all of existence. Her insatiable hunger even seems to scare the crap out of the other main villain, who is a freaking demigod! An attempt to bomb them fails when they take the bomb apart to eat the fuse. The creature in The Clone. Humans and animals are absorbed and converted, as are certain types of fabrics, but it also likes rubber and concrete - car tires, shoe soles and parts of buildings. It even resorts to cannibalizing itself when its food supply starts to dwindle, which ends up killing it. The Muppet Wiki has a list of things Cookie Monster has eaten. Also seen in this video featuring a prototype of Cookie. Muppet monsters in general are Extreme Omnivores , but special mention goes to The Lunch Counter Monster and Carl the Big Mean Monster , whose sketches seem to revolve around the abnormal things they eat. Joey on Friends , known for his voracious appetite , is effectively a walking garbage disposal. In one episode he catches Chandler and Rachel eating a cheesecake that fell on the hallway - and, without missing a beat, takes a spoon out of his coat pocket and joins in. Another episode has Rachel accidentally putting meat in a traditional English trifle. The others pretend to like it so as not to hurt her feelings, but Joey actually does - so much so that he eats not only his but that which the others had not eaten! Possibly they had foodstains on them. Also, when Rachel spilled spaghetti on the floor in "Casa del Joey", she apologised and he says "What the hey! She then throws some more on the floor and his response? Fear Factor , natch. Also, ALF , while favoring and never getting cats also fits this trope sometimes. Most of the time, he is just a Big Eater and freeloader. Mystery Science Theater You blossomed and fried my head?! A triple fried egg sandwich with chili sauce and chutney featured prominently in one episode. Another episode saw him happily eating a space weevil. Although to be fair he thought it was a crunchy king prawn This is a man for whom a typical breakfast consists of cornflakes with tabasco sauce and a sprinkling of grated raw onion, served with a glass of chilled vindaloo sauce. However, most of the time , he absolutely refuses to eat Pot Noodle; to the extent that when it once came down to Pot Noodle or a can of dog food, he chose the dog

food. His nonchalance abruptly ended when the full effect of the taste hit. A partial list of other things that James May has eaten or drunk: Of those, the only things that he found objectionable were the garlic wine and the deep-fried Mars bar which had been fried in fish-and-chip oil. For extra hilarity, that fermented shark? Made Gordon Ramsay throw up. Jeremy Clarkson also apparently has a philosophy towards novel food items encapsulated thus: Yes, go for it, grate some puffin on that. Hosted by the Travel Channel, the titular host goes places to sample the various local cuisines. Some of the more spectacular episodes include: He can eat it. He determines that whoever did it was eating newspaper, as well as pieces of a credit card. Vyvyan in The Young Ones in "The Television Inspector", literally eats the television to hide it from the television inspector: The old "eat the telly" trick! Vyvyan electric cord hanging from mouth: Part of the mission of the chefs of Future Food is encouraging people to expand their definitions of what is edible. iCarly Sam Puckett from iCarly eats many different forms and amounts of food including chugging of pickle juice. He is seen on screen consuming the windshield wipers and swallowing a bolt dipped in motor oil.

*Curious what the top sneaky, fast, smart pack hunters are? Check it out!*

Be part of the tradition. Much of the principal cast of the original ABC show is back, too. Coulson "also appearing again. The most prominent absentee is sheriff Harry S. It was a joyful, fantastic trip with this great crew and great cast. And we just had a shorthand, for lack of a better word, in terms of when he wanted me to think of something or try something different or whatever. Qualifying begins for the st Indianapolis , with positions advancing to the Fast 9. Qualifying continues for the st Indianapolis , featuring positions and the Fast 9. From Indianapolis Motor Speedway. Buster Posey leads the Giants into St. Louis to take on Yadier Molina and the Cardinals. From Safeco Field in Seattle. N Saturday Saturday 7: N Sunday Sunday Sunday 2: The Rangers visit Justin Upton and the Tigers in a primetime showdown. N Subject to Blackout 1: From the Xcel Energy Center in St. N Subject to Blackout 2: N From Nationals Park in Warriors. N fference Finals, Game 5. If nament, Game 7: From Dodger Stanament, Game 8: Teams dium in Los Angeles. N Same-day Tape Eastern Conference nament, Game 7: N Same-day Tape Finals, Game 5. N Same-day Tape 1: N Subject to Black3: Western nament, Game 2: From Fenway Park in Boston. N Same-day Saturday Nationals. From Nationals Tape N N Tournament, Game N Same-day Tape 3: N Same-day Tape ning of the Preakness. The Western Conference Finals continue with Game 5. Western Conference Finals, Game 6. N Subject to Blackout Tuesday 6: Eastern Conference Finals, Game 6. N Subject to Blackout Wednesday 7: Western Conference Finals, Game 7. N Subject to Blackout Thursday 6: Eastern Conference Finals, Game 7. N Subject to Blackout Saturday 7: From Saputo Stadium in Montreal. From Yurcak Field in Piscataway, N. From CenturyLink Field in Seattle. N Same-day Tape 6: Chelsea beat Sunderland on the final day of their title-winning season Costa, Remy 2. We e have a convenient location with 24 hour access to your storage facilities. Missoula, MT starrentalinc. Garcia, of course, joined a rather elite fraternity of his peers by donning the coveted green jacket after his Masters Tournament victory a month ago. He also finished tied for third at the U. Saying he was due to win a Major may be an understatement. Garcia has been consistent throughout his career, but has more PGA Tour wins early on than in recent years. Last year was not his first win at the Byron Nelson either; he also won here in and thus is among only a handful of multiple winners of this event. A third time would certainly be a charm.

*Search the history of over billion web pages on the Internet.*

Evolutionary models[ edit ] Conventional model: Packer and Ruttan Game Theory[ edit ] In , the ecologists Craig Packer and Lore Ruttan surveyed the breadth of documented instances of cooperative hunting to make a game-theoretical model to explain under what circumstances cooperative hunting evolves. In their model, individuals can choose one of four hunting strategies: This is usually due to a prey species being too large to be taken down by an individual, meaning hunting efficiency is low and hunting cost is high. In this case, the increased benefit in hunting efficiency from cooperation must compensate for the division of available meat among cooperators. Furthermore, cooperatively hunting groups are prone to invasion by cheaters and scavengers who avoid the drawbacks of hunting, so the added benefit of cooperative hunting must also outweigh these costs. Otherwise, cheating and scavenging can also be evolutionarily stable strategies. The proportion of these strategies increases in larger groups, since only a certain number of individuals are required to help make the kill, allowing others to directly benefit without participating in the hunt. Cheaters and scavengers never prosper in this situation, since the original captor monopolized all the food. The model predicts that the only way cooperative hunting is an ESS for single small prey is if the predators are already constrained to live in groups—so they must share what they get in order to keep the group stable. This is because cooperators no longer have to pay costs of dividing meat if they can each make their own kill. Another benefit is that when prey is sufficiently large it can be shared among cooperators if one member was unable to make a kill. Moreover, cheaters and scavengers only do well when hunting costs are very high, since they forgo the chance to get their own prey. In these parts of the model, cooperative hunting is always favorable, as long as there is some form of increase in net efficiency over solitary hunting. However, in their body of research, Packer and Ruttan found very few instances of this clear advantage over solitaries. They concluded that cooperative hunting in multiple prey situations is more likely to be a result of pre-existing social bonds rather than an evolutionary adaptation , but that this hunting behavior is still important for establishing the social fabric of the group. For hunting to evolve, in single individuals the rewards for hunting meat intake exceed the costs of hunting energy used up, injury, illness. For cooperative hunting to evolve, in single hunters the net gain benefits - costs of hunting together exceed the net gain of hunting alone. For cooperative hunting to remain stable, there must be some mechanism to prevent cheaters and scavengers from taking an unfair portion of the meat. Additionally, this meat-sharing behavior is not related to the social hierarchy of the group, suggesting that it depends solely on participation in the hunt. Therefore, it pays females to be a bystander rather than hunt; in fact, the male strategy allows the female strategy to be stable provided the males provision their female partner with food. On the other hand, in the chimpanzees of Gombe Stream National Park in Tanzania , cooperative hunting is not a stable strategy. The predator-prey interactions differ in Gombe chimpanzees in a way that has prevented cooperative hunting from evolving. In fact, single hunters gain much more meat than cooperative hunters in the Gombe population. The results above suggest that social living in chimps may not be a necessary prerequisite for the evolution of cooperative hunting; instead, the distribution of resources is a critical determining factor. Importance of resource distribution[ edit ] The distribution of prey species is often the determining factor for whether populations hunt cooperatively. When prey is abundant throughout a habitat, cooperative hunting is not an effective strategy. This case arises when prey is small enough to be captured by an individual. In contrast, when patches of prey are focused in small areas of a habitat, predators are likely to live in groups to coordinate large attacks and kill more prey. In this respect, cooperative hunting is not just a function of the species, but also of its environment. Consequentially, cooperative hunting most likely evolved in areas with scarce prey distribution, and patterns of this behavior are likely to vary with seasonal fluctuation of available resources. For example, in aplomado falcons individuals generally hunt alone when searching for insects , as these hunts are simple and these insects are easy to find. However, the aplomado falcons generally hunt cooperatively when targeting smaller rodents and birds , as these hunts are lengthy and require high-speed chases. Thus, the decision to hunt

cooperatively is often dependent upon the resource distribution in certain species. Cooperative hunting is often a major feature of these groups, and it has been theorized that it is a primary basis for the evolution of sociality in the order Carnivora. Future research may quantify the contribution of cooperative hunting to the evolution of sociality, as it is currently difficult to ascertain how much cooperative hunting is a cause or a consequence of social behavior. Adaptive significance[ edit ] When an environment allows it, cooperative hunting can offer species a range of adaptive advantages not normally available through solitary hunting. Adaptive advantages of cooperative hunting[ edit ] There are two main goals to cooperative hunting in social carnivores: Cooperative hunting is also important in species that prey on larger animals, such as African hunting dogs , as it allows them to make a kill in a safer, more efficient manner. After the kill has been made, the hunters restrict meat access to those involved in the hunt to protect their food from scavengers. This includes both strictly scavenging species and members of their own species who do not participate in the hunt. In these ways, cooperative hunting confers adaptive advantages by affording species a means to make more efficient kills and by ensuring they get the maximum amount of food possible from their kill. Another advantage of cooperative hunting is that attacking in a group allows more opportunities to make a kill before the prey scatters and gets away. Group attacks are particularly advantageous when prey live in concentrated groups, as hunters have a hard time tracking prey in territories outside the preferred habitat. Higher success in prey capture has been demonstrated in wild dogs, [12] bottlenose dolphins and other cetaceans , [19] falcons, and fossa due to cooperative hunting. For example, aplomado falcons increase their efficiency of capture when hunting in pairs because the pairs are twice as successful as hunting alone. Group size is an important indicator of specific instances of cooperative hunting, as the prey must be large enough and the hunting group small enough to provide enough food for all individuals. Another important consideration is that when groups grow larger, there is a greater chance that individuals will engage in a cheater strategy. If there is consistently too much cheating in a group, individuals will prefer to hunt alone so they do not have to share their meal with freeloaders. There may be costs that set an upper limit on group size. However, in African wild dogs, researchers found that the most common group size was not the group size that maximized net benefits. Thus, African wild dogs optimize a more appropriate currency: This research successfully demonstrates that varying ecological variables are responsible for the difference in optimum group size among cooperatively hunting animals. Animal roles in cooperative hunting[ edit ] Division of labor, which involves each team member performing a subtask to complete an objective, has been found in many species. It has been shown that animals that forage and hunt cooperatively in groups often adopt specialized roles during a hunting event , which can vary widely among different species. Division of labor among cooperatively hunting species occurs along a continuum, ranging from species in which individuals never differentiate into specific roles to species in which individuals specialize into different roles that they always perform throughout their lifetime. African wild dogs[ edit ] African wild dogs eating the spoils of their hunt African wild dogs participate in an intense rally ceremony before hunting. Its function is to ensure that all the members are alert and ready to hunt. They then all trot together and participate in a chase during which they pursue and harass the prey. Instead of immediately attacking the prey, which could result in small prey immediately fleeing and large prey forming a defensive pinwheel and charging, the dogs form a defensive formation. Despite their coordinated formation, there is no clear role specialization in this species, as all individuals perform essentially the same function. A wolf pack may trail a herd of elk, caribou or other large prey for days, looking for an animal that displays any sign of weakness, before making its move. In open areas, wolves may precede the hunt with group ceremonies involving standing nose-to-nose and wagging their tails. Once concluded, the pack heads towards their prey, chasing it down and then surrounding it. The youngest wolves frequently do nothing more than observe and learn from the sidelines. Speedy, lightly built females often take on herding roles, darting back and forth in front of prey, causing confusion and preventing escape. Slower but more powerful males are able to take down a large animal more aggressively and quickly. Males and females always perform the same task in every situation. They begin perched together and the males initiate and give a sharp "chirp" vocalization to signal for the female to follow suit. When chasing birds on the ground, the females follow right behind the birds in the bushes and the males swoop in from overhead to make the kill. Within these pairs, males and females are

consistently assigned to a particular role. The bottlenose dolphins form groups of three to six. The driver performs fluke-slaps to cause the fish to leap into the air. As the fish begin to leap, the driver moves next to the barrier dolphins, who all catch the fish in the air with their mouths open. Stefanie Gazda and colleagues predict that this role specialization is more common in marine than terrestrial animals due to a higher variability in prey diversity, biomass, and predator mobility in the ocean. A team of dolphins each fill a specialized role to make fish jump in the air. In this vulnerable position, they are easy prey for the dolphin team.

**Lions**[ edit ] Cooperative hunting strategy in lions is based on groups of three to seven individuals split into two highly specialized roles, centers and wings, which coordinate their movement to encircle and ambush the prey. In a line of lions, the outside individuals, also known as the wings, first run out to the sides of the intended target while the center lies in an ambush position. As the wings slowly encircle their mark, they drive the prey towards the waiting center—often one of the older and heavier individuals in the group—who then pounces to make the kill. Each individual in the group learns its preferred role during youth, whether it be center or wing. A child does not necessarily perform the same position as its mother since it learns through observing other lionesses in the pride. Individuals have also been shown to perform positions other than their natural place depending on whether another individual has already filled their role. Despite this plasticity, hunting success is greatest when every individual in the group can perform its specialized role. Drivers follow the prey without trying to catch up with it. Blockers place themselves in a tree to block the progression of the prey. Chasers move quickly after the prey to catch up with it. Finally, ambushers anticipate the escape route of the prey long enough in advance to force it back towards the chasers or down into the lower canopy. Blocking and ambushing are thought to require much more cognitive effort in anticipating the future movements of the prey, and they are thus rewarded with a larger proportion of meat after a successful hunt. These two roles correlate positively with the age of the chimpanzee as the cognitive function necessary to perform these tasks is thought to increase with age. Furthermore, individuals can change roles during the same hunt or maintain their same role during the entire process.

**Fossa**[ edit ] The usually solitary fossa sometimes hunts cooperatively. Fossa *Cryptoprocta ferox* are the first documented example of cooperative hunting in solitary species, as fossa are some of the least social carnivores. They are carnivorous, feeding mostly on small lemurs and tenrecs. Prey size may have been important for the evolution of cooperative hunting in fossa because one of their main sources of prey larger lemurs has recently gone extinct. Larger lemurs pounds, such as giant sloth lemurs, were abundant on Madagascar until ~1000 years ago. However, since extinction was relatively recent, cooperative hunting may have persisted even after their prey size diminished.

**Spiders**[ edit ] Stegodyphid spiders Genus *Stegodyphus* have been shown to act cooperatively to trap and collect insect prey species. When prey is captured in the field, it usually requires at least two spiders to be brought back to the nest to be shared among the rest of the colony.

### Chapter 8 : Dinosaur - Wikipedia

*The Top 10 Deadliest Carnivorous Dinosaurs, in my opinion in scientific research and/or the web. V 8 Comments. 8 Velociraptor they were indeed pack hunters.*

We promised a Heavy Ammo bug fix. We promised some weapon tuning. Word on the street is that things are looking good. With all that black gear, he was obviously a Dead Orbit man. What we do know to be true is that plenty of you have asked for an easier way to check your reputation standing with your friendly neighborhood Tower vendors. Looks like you saved us a few steps. Now this information is never more than two clicks away! When did you make the call to drop this into our inventory screens? This one is being included in 1. It was a no-brainer. What did you wrestle with in making it a reality in an existing game? Where do you put it, and how much information do you include? Since reputation really affects your buying power, it made sense to put it in close proximity to your currencies. The inventory screen real estate is pretty full, so using the fly-out convention we established in the settings screen was a way to recoup some space, yet still allow tooltips for another layer of detail. Love In The Air Reminder: You might even spend some quality time together sharing a freshly-popped crate of ammunition. The couple that slays together stays together. Meet other singles in your area. Show them the true meaning of love. The Early Hawk Catches the Caterpillar? Ever watchful, the Destiny Operations Center is doing what they can to make sure that you can access both environments with success. This update means that linking your account should be easier. If you are experiencing any issues in linking your Bungie profile account, please let us know in the BnetIssues forum. This pairing of errors is usually due to firewall settings on a router, which can block connectivity. You may need to contact your internet service provider to help you determine if this is the case. We have updated both error pages to reflect this information. Thank you for sounding off on the forum about how Destiny can work better for you. The time has come to dim the lights and nuke some popcorn. Okay, UGC works, too.

### Chapter 9 : A Positive View on the "OP" Dinosaurs : playark

*Dinosaur pack-hunters. [Steve Parker; Grolier Educational (Firm)] -- The pack-hunting theropod dinosaurs were not nearly as big as the giant hunting dinosaurs, but they had large brains for their body size and prowled in ravenous packs.*

Edit Velociraptor was the first species of dinosaur successfully cloned by InGen in , for eventual display as an attraction in Jurassic Park on Isla Nublar. However, by the time of the Jurassic Park incident in , the dominant raptor had killed all but two others. Blue is still alive after living 3 years by herself on Isla Nublar. Owen was watching a training video of Blue and her pack after Claire Dearing tried convincing him to go back to Isla Nublar to save Blue and the other dinosaurs. Owen decides to go back to Isla Nublar because of his very close relationship with Blue. During the rescue mission, Owen Grady manages to track the location of Blue from her tracking device. She started to attack one of the men. However, they shoot Blue. The men betrayed Owen and left him sedated in the wild while they cart Blue back to the Arcadia. Inside the ship, Blue was confirmed to be wounded by Zia Rodriguez. She was desperately trying to slow down the bleeding of Blue wound. Zia was able to remove to bullet off of Blue. She was locked in a cage, as she is not auctioned off like the rest of the dinosaurs. Zia was about to escape the lab, but two guard men prevent them from escaping. She had no other choice, but ends up freeing Blue, who then attack the guards, providing enough time for the two DPG members to escape. When a tank of flammable gas is struck by a stray bullet, Blue bolts out of the lab, just as it explodes behind her, causing a tank cyanide to leak gas into the entire downstairs. She and the hybrid are locked into a brutal battle, with Blue being thrown around by the stronger dinosaur, as her teeth and claws barely phase the bloodthirsty dinosaur from surrendering. He strokes her muzzle, and tells her he can take her to a sanctuary where no one would find her, and where she would be protected. Blue, however, makes gentle clicking noises before running towards freedom, pausing only once to look back at her trainer, preferring to be free like the other dinosaurs, opposed to being locked in a cage again. Before running in the Californian woods. Blue is then later seen again. Blue is seen looking-over a suburban California neighborhood, while calling out four times into the early morning, signifying that dinosaurs and humans must now co-exist with each other.